

COVID-19 Reach Tracker

Contains Skilled Nursing and Seniors Housing Properties (Independent Living, Assisted Living, Memory Care) By Metropolitan Area Within Reporting States

Note: The COVID-19 Reach Tracker will be retired after July 30, 2020. Fewer states are reporting at the level of granularity necessary to continue to broaden the scope of the COVID-19 Reach Tracker. Additionally, cumulative data becomes less meaningful and representative of what is currently happening in seniors housing and skilled nursing properties the longer it is tracked since operational processes have changed and the virus has run its course with a majority of the residents comprising the cumulative numbers. Please visit the [NIC COVID-19 Resource Center](#) and our new [Skilled Nursing COVID-19 Tracker](#) for additional COVID-19 metrics.

The goal of the COVID-19 Reach Tracker is to put state reported numbers of residents within seniors housing properties with COVID-19 in context of the number of properties and residents.

A limited number of geographies report the names of skilled nursing and assisted living properties along with the respective cumulative number of residents who have tested positive for COVID-19. Major metropolitan areas included within this report are those measured by the NIC MAP® Data Service from the following areas:

- Colorado
- Georgia
- Kentucky
- Maryland
- Nevada
- New Jersey
- Ohio
- South Carolina

The County of Los Angeles also reports out the data necessary for the analysis contained here.

NIC linked these properties to its NIC MAP® Data Service property database to estimate the reach of COVID-19 among properties and the penetration of COVID-19 among residents.

It must be noted that the number of residents testing positive for COVID-19 are subject to the limitations of differences in reach across states, within states, among property types, and in senior living settings compared to the general public. In addition, even with the authority that comes with states collecting data, coverage may not be complete and there may be inaccuracies. It should be expected that properties may correct public data sources, if inaccurate, and these corrections may not have been included at the time NIC recorded the data from public data sources.

Hence, while acknowledging that state data are by no means perfect, it remains the most comprehensive view of COVID-19 reach currently publicly available and provides some basis for understanding the incidence of the virus across properties and among residents.

These data will be updated regularly as states update their data and additional reporting is provided by government sources. The state of Minnesota was previously included in the COVID-19 Reach Tracker, however it has been removed because the state has not updated the data that includes Assisted Living communities since June 10, 2020. The state of Oklahoma was previously included however it has been removed because the state no longer reports the number of residents with COVID-19 distinct from the number of staff with COVID-19.

The data reflect cumulative totals for each of these geographic areas.

Skilled Nursing Properties

Metropolitan Market	# of properties in markets measured by NIC MAP	Cumulative # of properties with at least one COVID-19 positive resident or staff	Cumulative % properties with one COVID-19 positive resident or staff	NIC MAP 1Q20 occupied units	Cumulative # residents in sample tested positive	Cumulative % residents tested positive
Akron, OH	39	17	44%	3,962	469	11.8%
Atlanta, GA	96	86	90%	12,276	3,752	30.6%
Augusta, GA	21	16	76%	2,122	278	13.1%
Baltimore, MD ¹	88	83	94%	11,230	3,708	33.0%
Boulder, CO	10	6	60%	1,045	111	10.6%
Charleston, SC	14	9	64%	1,704	178	10.4%
Cincinnati, OH ¹	124	72	58%	12569	903	7%
Cleveland, OH	129	80	62%	14,760	1,229	8.3%
Colorado Springs, CO	19	5	26%	1,807	122	6.8%
Columbia, SC	21	12	57%	2,946	416	14.1%
Columbus, OH	84	54	64%	7,761	1,341	17.3%
D.C. Maryland Suburbs ²	58	54	93%	8,312	2,548	30.7%
Denver, CO	77	52	68%	7,477	1,239	16.6%
Greenville, SC	26	16	62%	3,077	311	10.1%
Las Vegas, NV	22	18	82%	3,153	564	17.9%
Lexington, KY	16	10	63%	1,626	235	14.5%
Los Angeles	304	227	75%	30,569	5,945	19.4%
Louisville, KY	65	29	45%	6,730	583	8.7%
New York, NY New Jersey Suburbs ²	221	211	95%	31,271	12,579	40.2%
Philadelphia, PA New Jersey Suburbs ²	41	38	93%	5,739	2,447	42.6%
Spartanburg, SC	11	6	55%	944	153	16.2%
Toledo, OH	46	30	65%	4,039	777	19.2%
Trenton, NJ	13	13	100%	1,758	827	47.0%
Youngstown, OH ¹	38	22	58%	3,555	476	13.4%
Overall	1,583	1,166	74%	180,432	41,191	22.8%

¹Only includes data from counties within the metro area from states reporting data.

²Includes only the State counties within the specified CBSA. e.g. D.C. Maryland Suburbs includes Maryland counties within the Washington, DC CBSA. The New York, NY and Philadelphia, PA New Jersey Suburbs include only New Jersey counties within the CBSAs.

Seniors Housing Properties (Independent Living, Assisted Living, Memory Care)

Metropolitan Market	# of properties in markets measured by NIC MAP	Cumulative # of properties with at least one COVID-19 positive resident or staff	Cumulative % properties with one COVID-19 positive resident or staff	NIC MAP 1Q20 occupied units	Cumulative # residents in sample tested positive	Cumulative % residents tested positive
Akron, OH	34	16	47%	3,660	112	3.1%
Atlanta, GA	218	101	46%	16,733	590	3.5%
Augusta, GA	21	6	29%	1,316	26	2.0%
Baltimore, MD ¹	87	52	60%	13,232	628	4.7%
Boulder, CO	17	3	18%	1,162	23	2.0%
Charleston, SC	30	6	20%	2,818	9	0.3%
Cincinnati, OH ¹	64	37	58%	8,941	286	3%
Cleveland, OH	89	25	28%	9,135	243	2.7%
Colorado Springs, CO	28	4	14%	2,719	62	2.3%
Columbia, SC	36	11	31%	2,557	40	1.6%
Columbus, OH	80	32	40%	7,826	258	3.3%
D.C. Maryland Suburbs ²	55	43	78%	9,235	680	7.4%
Denver, CO	104	47	45%	12,708	637	5.0%
Greenville, SC	56	10	18%	4,300	75	1.7%
Las Vegas, NV	31	12	39%	2,791	110	3.9%
Lexington, KY	15	1	7%	1,576	4	0.3%
Los Angeles	198	64	32%	19,627	690	3.5%
Louisville, KY	45	10	22%	4,419	93	2.1%
New York, NY New Jersey Suburbs ²	154	134	87%	20,550	2,866	13.9%
Philadelphia, PA New Jersey Suburbs ²	48	36	75%	5,638	586	10.4%
Spartanburg, SC	14	2	14%	1,448	7	0.5%
Toledo, OH	20	13	65%	2,109	190	9.0%
Trenton, NJ	9	9	100%	1,001	223	22.3%
Youngstown, OH ¹	21	10	48%	2,141	175	8.2%
Overall	1,474	684	46%	157,642	8,613	5.5%

¹Only includes data from counties within the metro area from states reporting data.

²Includes only the State counties within the specified CBSA. e.g. D.C. Maryland Suburbs includes Maryland counties within the Washington, DC CBSA. The New York, NY and Philadelphia, PA New Jersey Suburbs include only New Jersey counties within the CBSAs.

Source of Properties/Residents with COVID-19 Data:

Geographic Coverage Used for Metro Data	State Data Sources	Date Release Data (hyperlink to source)
State	Colorado	22-July-20
	Georgia	23-July-20
	Kentucky	23-July-20
	Ohio	22-July-20
	Maryland	21-July-20
	Nevada	22-July-20
	New Jersey	22-July-20
	South Carolina	20-July-20
County	Los Angeles, CA	20-July-20

Methodology:

- NIC identified sources that published by property name a list of skilled nursing and/or seniors housing properties that had at least one positive COVID-19 test for a resident or staff member by state (the “State List”).
- Using the State List, NIC identified those properties within NIC MAP’s 1Q20 property inventory. The property inventory identifies approximately 15,800 seniors housing and skilled nursing properties across the 140 metropolitan areas tracked by NIC. Properties generally must have more than 25 units/beds to be included in the NIC MAP® Data Service property inventory.
- Properties included in both the State List and the 1Q20 property inventory provided the numerator for these COVID-19 reach tracking calculations. The denominator of reported metrics is from matched sets of properties by property type and NIC MAP® Data Service geographic coverage.
 - » NIC MAP® Data Service classifies properties based on the plurality of units by care segment within each respective property. Care segments are Independent Living, Assisted Living, and Skilled Nursing. For example, a property with a plurality of Skilled Nursing units is classified as “Majority Skilled Nursing” in NIC MAP’s property inventory. All occupied units within a building, regardless of care segment type, are included in the denominator. Memory Care is included within the Assisted Living care segment.
 - » To correlate skilled nursing properties contained in the State File, NIC included Majority Skilled Nursing properties from the NIC MAP® Data Service property inventory.
 - » To correlate seniors housing properties in the State File, NIC included all properties in the property inventory that included an Assisted Living care segment. State Files do not include any properties that only include an Independent Living care segment.
- NIC MAP® Data Service measures number of beds in skilled nursing properties as a unit, which equates to one resident per bed. For seniors housing, some units may have more than one resident per unit.